

6. Lattices and alg. integers

Recall from last week: $x \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an alg. integer, if x is a root of some monic poly. $X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\Leftrightarrow$ the monic min. poly of x has coeff in $\mathbb{Z}$.

$K =$ a number field $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K =$ ring of alg. int. in K .

E.g. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)} = \mathbb{Z}[i]$. As an abelian group this is $\cong \mathbb{Z}^2$, which is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^2$

Def 1) A subgroup $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called discrete if $\exists \varepsilon > 0$, s.t.

$$\{v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|v\| < \varepsilon\} \cap \Lambda = \{0\}$$

ε -ball around 0 for some norm $\|\cdot\|$
this notion does not depend on the choice of $\|\cdot\|$

2) A subgroup $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice, if Λ is discrete and spans $\mathbb{R}^n$ (i.e. Λ contains a basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$)

Example 1) $e_1, \dots, e_n$ - basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$,
then $\Lambda = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$ is a
lattice in $\mathbb{R}^n$

2) Let $\Lambda = \{a + b\sqrt{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{R}$
 Λ is not discrete $\Rightarrow$ not a lattice

Note $\mathbb{Z}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda$ surj. by def.
 $(a, b) \mapsto a + b\sqrt{2}$ inj.: $\sqrt{2} = -\frac{a}{b}$
irrational

$\dim \Lambda \subset \dim \mathbb{R} = 1$

Why not discrete? Define $\tau = \inf\{\tau \in \Lambda \mid \tau > 0\}$

Claim: $\tau = 0$. Assume $\tau > 0$. Then $\tau \in \Lambda$

Otherwise $\exists$ sequence $\tau_i \in \Lambda$, s.t.

$\tau_i > \tau$, $\tau_i < \tau_j$ for $i > j$, $\tau_i \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} \tau$

$\exists \tau_{i_0} < \tau_{j_0}$: $\tau_{i_0} - \tau < \frac{\tau}{2}$, $\tau_{j_0} - \tau < \frac{\tau}{2}$

Then: $|\tau_{j_0} - \tau_{i_0}| = |\tau_{j_0} - \tau + \tau - \tau_{i_0}| \leq$
 $\leq |\tau_{j_0} - \tau| + |\tau_{i_0} - \tau| < \frac{\tau}{2} + \frac{\tau}{2}$
 $= \tau$

so $0 < \tau_{j_0} - \tau_{i_0} < \tau$, $\tau_{j_0} - \tau_{i_0} \in \Lambda$ - contrad.

the choice of τ . $\Rightarrow \tau \in \Lambda$.

Then $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \cdot \tau$. If not, then

$$\exists z \in \Lambda : \quad n_0 z < z < (n_0+1)z$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 < \underbrace{z - n_0 z}_{n_1 z} < z$$

$n_1 z$ - contradicts the choice of z .

Lemma Assume $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice, and $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a compact subset. Then $\Lambda \cap M$ is finite.

Proof Assume not. Then $\exists$ a sequence $z_i \in \Lambda \cap M$, $z_i \neq z_j$ $i \neq j$. Since M is compact, we can choose a converging subsequence $z_i \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} x \in M$

Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists z_{i_0}, z_{j_0} :$

$$|x - z_{i_0}| < \varepsilon/2, \quad |x - z_{j_0}| < \varepsilon/2$$

Then $|z_{i_0} - z_{j_0}| < \varepsilon$, and $z_{i_0} - z_{j_0} \in \Lambda$

- contradicts discreteness of Λ $\square$

Prop 6.1 Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a lattice.

Then $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$ (i.e. Λ is as in example 1 above)

Proof Step 1 We show that Λ is finitely generated.

Λ spans $\mathbb{R}^n \Rightarrow \exists e_1, \dots, e_n \in \Lambda$ - a basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$

Define $M = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i \mid 0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1 \right\}$
 $\cong [0, 1]^n$

M is compact. By Lemma above

$M \cap \Lambda = \{0, e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}, \dots, e_N\}$ finite set

Let $z \in \Lambda$, then $z = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$

$z' = z - \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\lfloor \alpha_i \rfloor}_{\text{round-down of } \alpha_i} e_i \in \Lambda \cap M$

$\Rightarrow \exists j = 1, \dots, N \quad z' = e_j \quad \text{or} \quad z' = 0$

$\Rightarrow z$ is a lin. comb. with integral coeff of $e_1, \dots, e_N$

$\Rightarrow e_1, \dots, e_N$ generate Λ .

Step 2 From step 1 $\Rightarrow \Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^m$

for some $m \geq n$.

Let $e_1, \dots, e_m$ be generators of Λ ,
and assume $e_1, \dots, e_n$ a basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$

Assume $m > n$; then

$$e_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

If all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $\exists d \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$d \cdot e_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\alpha_i d}_{\in \mathbb{Z}} e_i$$

— contradicts the $\mathbb{Z}$ fact that e_i are lin. indep in Λ .

We may assume $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$

$$e_{n+1} = \underbrace{\alpha_1 e_1}_{\text{irrational}} + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i e_i$$

Note: $\forall k_1 \neq k_2$ integers

$$k_1 e_{n+1} \not\equiv k_2 e_{n+1} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_n}$$

$$\left(\text{if } k_1 e_{n+1} - k_2 e_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right.$$

$$\left. \text{then } (k_1 - k_2) \alpha_1 = \lambda_1 \Rightarrow \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Q} - \text{contrad.} \right)$$

Consider the vectors

$$k e_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^n \lfloor k \alpha_i \rfloor e_i \in \Lambda \cap M$$

M as above. By Lemma $\Lambda \cap M$ is finite $\Rightarrow \exists k_1 \neq k_2$

$$k_1 e_{n+1} \equiv k_2 e_{n+1} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_n}$$

— contradiction $\square$

$\mathbb{Q} \subset K$ a number field.

$K \simeq \mathbb{Q}^n$ as a $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space for some n .

Consider $\mathbb{Q}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \left(\simeq K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \right)$

Then $\sigma_K \subset K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \Rightarrow \sigma_K$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Prop 6.2 1) $\forall x \in K \quad \exists_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ d \neq 0}} d x \in \sigma_K$

2) $\forall x \in \sigma_K \quad \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$
and $x \neq 0 \Rightarrow N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x) \neq 0$.

Proof 1) x is alg.

$$\Rightarrow a_0 x^m + a_1 x^{m-1} + \dots + a_m = 0, \quad a_0 \neq 0$$

$$x^m + \frac{a_1}{a_0} x^{m-1} + \dots + \frac{a_m}{a_0} = 0 \quad (*)$$

$$\exists d \in \mathbb{Z}: \quad d \frac{a_i}{a_0} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall i$$

Multiply (*) by d^m

$$(dx)^m + d \frac{a_1}{a_0} (dx)^{m-1} + \dots + d^m \frac{a_m}{a_0} = 0$$

all coefficients are in $\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow dx \in \sigma_K$

2) Recall Prop 4.3 from Lecture 3:

$$\text{Tr}(x) = \sum \sigma(x), \quad N(x) = \prod \sigma(x)$$

over all $\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$

all $\sigma(x)$ are alg. integers

$\Rightarrow \text{Tr}(x), N(x)$ are alg. integers,

but they are also in $\mathbb{Q}$

$\Rightarrow \text{Tr}(x), N(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$

$x \neq 0 \Rightarrow \sigma(x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow N(x) \neq 0$ - clear $\square$

Thm 6.3 For a number field K
 σ_K is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^n = K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$

Proof Step 1: σ_K spans $\mathbb{R}^n$
as a vector space.

Let $e_1, \dots, e_n \in K$ form a basis
of $K / \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow$ they form a basis
of $\mathbb{R}^n = K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{R}$

By Prop 6.2. $\exists d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}^+$: $d \cdot e_i \in \sigma_K \forall i$
 $d e_i$ also form a basis

Step 2 σ_K is a discrete subgroup

We may assume that K is normal.
 Otherwise embed $K \subset K'$, K' normal
 prove that $\sigma_{K'}$ is discrete in
 $K' \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \sigma_K = K \cap \sigma_{K'}$ is also
 discrete (exercise).

Assume σ_K not discrete. Then
 $\exists$ sequence $z_i \in \sigma_K$, $z_i \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} 0$, $z_i \neq 0$
 Choose a basis $e_1, \dots, e_n$ of $K/\mathbb{Q}$

$$z_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{ij} e_j \quad \lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

$$\text{Then } \forall j=1 \dots n \quad \lambda_{ij} \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$$\text{Consider } N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(z_i) = \prod_{\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \sigma(z_i) =$$

$$= \prod_{\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}} (\lambda_{i1} \sigma(e_1) + \dots + \lambda_{in} \sigma(e_n))$$

$$= \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_n = n} \lambda_{i1}^{k_1} \dots \lambda_{in}^{k_n} \underbrace{\prod_{j=1}^n \sigma(e_j)^{k_j}}_{\text{fixed element}}$$

K fixed element
 (not depending on λ_{ij})

$N(z_i)$ is a polynomial function in λ_{ij}

$$\Rightarrow N(z_i) \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

But $N(z_i) \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Prop. 6.2

this is a contradiction

$\Rightarrow \sigma_K$ is discrete $\square$

Corollary as an abelian group
 $\sigma_K \cong \mathbb{Z}^{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}$

Proof follows from Prop 6.1 and 6.3 $\square$

Recall from the previous week

$$(x, y) \mapsto \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(xy) \in \mathbb{Q}$$

is a non-degenerate symmetric $\mathbb{Q}$ -bilinear form on K . By Prop 6.2 $\forall x, y \in \sigma_K$
 $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(xy) \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\Rightarrow \sigma_K$ is a lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n$ with a scalar product defined by the trace form $\text{Tr}: \sigma_K \times \sigma_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

Let $e_1, \dots, e_n$ be a basis of σ_K .

Consider the matrix $A = (a_{ij})$

$$a_{ij} = \text{Tr}(e_i e_j) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Def The discriminant of K is
$$d_K = \det(A).$$

Lemma d_K does not depend on the choice of a basis in $\mathcal{O}_K$

Proof Let $f_1 \dots f_n$ be another basis

$$f_i = \sum m_{ij} e_j, \quad m_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad M = (m_{ij})$$

$$e_k = \sum m'_{ke} f_e, \quad m'_{ke} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad M' = (m'_{ke})$$

$$\text{Then } MM' = M'M = \text{Id.} \Rightarrow \det M \cdot \det M' = 1$$

$$\det M = \pm 1 \quad \text{because } \det M \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } b_{ij} &= \text{Tr}(f_i f_j) = \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n m_{i\alpha} m_{j\beta} \underbrace{\text{Tr}(e_\alpha e_\beta)}_{a_{\alpha\beta}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow B = (b_{ij}) = M A M^t$$

$$\Rightarrow \det B = \underbrace{(\det M)^2}_1 \det A = \det A$$

□

Example 1) $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)} = \mathbb{Z}[i]; \quad 1, i - \text{basis}$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow d_{\mathbb{Q}(i)} = -4$$

$$2) \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})} = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] \quad 1, \sqrt{2} - \text{basis}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow d_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})} = 8$$

Prop 6.4 For a number field K
either $d_K \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $d_K \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

Proof $A =$ matrix of the trace form
in a basis $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathcal{O}_K$

Consider $B = (b_{ij})$

$$b_{ij} = \sigma_j(e_i), \quad \sigma_j: K \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \text{ embedding}$$

In lecture 3 we have seen:

$$A = B \cdot B^t \Rightarrow d_K = (\det B)^2$$

$$\det B = \sum_{\substack{\pi - \text{even} \\ \text{permut. of } 1 \dots n}} \prod_{i=1}^n b_{i, \pi(i)} - \sum_{\substack{\pi - \text{odd} \\ \text{permut. of } 1 \dots n}} \prod_{i=1}^n b_{i, \pi(i)}$$

$$\text{Define } \alpha = \sum_{\substack{\pi - \text{all permut.} \\ \pi=1}}^n \prod_{i=1}^n b_{i, \pi(i)}$$

$$\beta = \sum_{\substack{\pi - \text{odd permut.} \\ \pi=1}}^n \prod_{i=1}^n b_{i, \pi(i)}$$

Then $\det B = \alpha - 2\beta$

α, β are alg. integers

Note: α is Galois-invariant

$$\Rightarrow \alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$d_K = (\det B)^2 = \alpha^2 - 4\alpha\beta + 4\beta^2$$
$$= \alpha^2 + 4(\beta^2 - \alpha\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta^2 - \alpha\beta = \frac{d_K - \alpha^2}{4} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

$\beta^2 - \alpha\beta$ is an alg. integer

$$\Rightarrow \beta^2 - \alpha\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\Rightarrow d_K = \alpha^2 + 4 \underbrace{(\beta^2 - \alpha\beta)}_{\in \mathbb{Z}} \equiv \alpha^2 \pmod{4}$$

$$\alpha^2 \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{4}$$

□